

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/708,422	03/02/2004	David A. Trueba	10437.0074.NPUS01	2421
23369 7590 04/26/2007 HOWREY LLP C/O IP DOCKETING DEPARTMENT 2941 FAIRVIEW PARK DRIVE, SUITE 200 FALLS CHURCH, VA 22042-7195			EXAMINER	
			OH, TAYLOR V	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1625	
		•		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
	•		04/26/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

- * Application Number	Application/Control No.	Applicant(s)/Patent under Reexamination	
	10/708,422	TRUEBA ET AL.	
	Thomas McKenzie, Ph.D.	1625	
Document Code - AP.PRE.	DEC		

Notice of Panel Decision from Pre-Appeal Brief Review

This is in response to the Pre-Appeal Brief Request for Re	eview filed <u>3/1/07</u> .
1. Improper Request – The Request is improper reason(s):	and a conference will not be held for the following
 ☐ The Notice of Appeal has not been filed conc ☐ The request does not include reasons why a ☐ A proposed amendment is included with the F ☐ Other: 	review is appropriate.
The time period for filing a response continues to run the mail date of the last Office communication, if no N	
held. The application remains under appeal because is required to submit an appeal brief in accordance w brief will be reset to be one month from mailing this d running from the receipt of the notice of appeal, which	erferences – A Pre-Appeal Brief conference has been there is at least one actual issue for appeal. Applicant ith 37 CFR 41.37. The time period for filing an appeal ecision, or the balance of the two-month time period never is greater. Further, the time period for filing of the upon the mail date of this decision or the receipt date
The panel has determined the status of the Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	claim(s) is as follows:
3. Allowable application – A conference has bee Allowance will be mailed. Prosecution on the merits reapplicant at this time.	
4. Reopen Prosecution – A conference has been action will be mailed. No further action is required by	
All participants:	,
(1) Thomas McKenzie, Ph.D. Ten	(3) <u>Jean Witz</u> .
(2) Victor Oh	(4)